arXiv:math/0107139v2 [math.AG] 9 May 2002

Stability of the cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces

Wei-Ping Li*, Zhenbo Qin†, and Weiqiang Wang‡

Abstract

We establish some remarkable properties of the cohomology rings of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ of n points on a projective surface X, from which one sees to what extent these cohomology rings are (in)dependent of X and n.

1 Introduction

Lehn [Leh] and more recently the authors [LQW1] have developed (vertex) algebraic calculus to study the cup products in the Hilbert schemes $X^{[n]}$ of n points on a projective surface X. This approach was built on the earlier beautiful formula of Göttsche [Got] on the Betti numbers of $X^{[n]}$ and an important construction of Heisenberg algebra of Nakajima [Na1, Na2] and Grojnowski [Gro]. In [LQW1], we obtained a set of ring generators for the rational cohomology ring $H^*(X^{[n]})$, which has not been accessible in general by classical algebro-geometric methods (see however [Mar]). Using this set of generators, an algorithm, first pointed out by Lehn [Leh] in a restricted case, can be given to compute the cup product of any two cohomology classes in $H^*(X^{[n]})$ for an *arbitrary* projective surface X. This algebraic approach has been surprisingly effective in establishing new purely geometric results, as indicated in the further developments of Lehn-Sorger and the authors [LS1, LQW2, LS2] on the cohomology rings $H^*(X^{[n]})$. We refer to [Wa] for a detailed overview and further references on closely related topics.

In this paper, we establish some remarkable properties of the cohomology rings of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ of n-points on a projective surface X, from which one sees to what extent these cohomology rings are (in)dependent of X and n. As a consequence, we are able to introduce a ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ which encodes all the cohomology ring structures of $H^*(X^{[n]})$ for all n, and further determine its structure. To achieve

*Partially supported by the grant HKUST6170/99P.

†Partially supported by an NSF grant and an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship.

‡Partially supported by an NSF grant.

[1]2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C05; Secondary 17B69.

these, we will extensively use and sharpen the techniques developed in the earlier works of Lehn and of the authors. Needless to say, the Heisenberg operators of Nakajima and Grojnowski are part of our basic vocabulary used in this paper.

We first obtain a quantitative description of the cup product of the ring generators given in [LQW1], which indicate to what extent the cup product of cohomology classes of $X^{[n]}$ is (in)dependent of the canonical class K_X and Euler class e_X of X (see Theorem 4.1). As a corollary (which has been implicit in the earlier work [Leh, LQW1]), we see clearly that if there exists a ring isomorphism from $H^*(X)$ to $H^*(Y)$ for two projective surfaces X and Y which sends the canonical class K_X to K_Y, then the cohomology rings of the Hilbert schemes $X^{[n]}$ and $Y^{[n]}$ are isomorphic for any n. In addition, we obtain the general structure of intersection numbers on $X^{[n]}$ in terms of intersection numbers on X. This general structure bears some similarities with the general structure of the Donaldson invariants from Donaldson theory (compare with [EGL]).

Using Theorem 4.1, we work out the cup products of two cohomology classes which are monomials of Heisenberg generators, and observe that the cup products are independent of n in an appropriate sense (see Theorem 5.1). Roughly speaking, Theorem 5.1 says that the cup product of certain cohomology classes in $H^*(X^{[n]})$ with n being large can be read off from the cup product of cohomology classes in $H^*(X^{[m]})$ with m being small. In other words, the cup product on $X^{[m]}$ partially determines the cup product on $X^{[n]}$ when $n > m$. This stability result enables us to construct a super-commutative associative ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$, called the *Hilbert ring* associated to X, which captures *all* the information about the cohomology ring of the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ for each n. We further prove that $\mathfrak{H}_X$ is isomorphic to a super-symmetric algebra with a simple set of generators which essentially comes from the set of ring generators for the cohomology rings $H^*(X^{[n]})$ found in [LQW2].

In a sequel, we shall develop a counterpart of our results in terms of the orbifold cohomology rings [CR] of the symmetric products, and clarify the connections with our present work. In another direction, it is natural to expect that results similar to those in the present paper hold as well for the quantum cohomology rings of the Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we collect some known results and definitions. In Sect. 3, we establish a series of technical lemmas related to Heisenberg generators and pushforwards. In Sect. 4, we work out the cup product of certain Chern characters in the cohomology ring of the Hilbert scheme, and derive some consequences. In Sect. 5, we establish the stability of the cohomology ring of $X^{[n]}$. In Sect. 6, we introduce the Hilbert ring and determine its structure.

Conventions: All cohomology groups are in $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients. For a continuous map $p : Y_1 \to Y_2$ between two smooth compact manifolds and for $\alpha_1 \in H^*(Y_1)$, we define $p_*(\alpha_1)$ to be $\mathrm{PD}^{-1}p_*(\mathrm{PD}(\alpha_1))$ where PD stands for the Poincaré duality.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the referee for valuable comments, and Jun Li and Gang Tian for stimulating discussions. After communicating the paper

to Lehn and Sorger, we were informed that they have also independently anticipated some of the results in the paper.

2 Generalities

Let X be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$, and $X^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of n-points in X. An element in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ is represented by a length-n 0-dimensional closed subscheme of X. It is well-known that $X^{[n]}$ is smooth. Let $\mathcal{Z}_n = \{(\xi, x) \subset X^{[n]} \times X \,|\, x \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi)\}$, and X^n be the n-th Cartesian product.

Definition 2.1 (i) Let $\mathbb{H} = \oplus_{n,i\geq 0}\mathbb{H}^{n,i}$ denote the double graded vector space with $\mathbb{H}^{n,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^i(X^{[n]})$, and $\mathbb{H}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^*(X^{[n]}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \oplus_{i=0}^{4n} H^i(X^{[n]})$. The element 1 in $H^0(X^{[0]}) = \mathbb{Q}$ is called the *vacuum vector* and denoted by $|0\rangle$;

(ii) $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ is *homogeneous of bidegree* (ℓ, m) if $\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{H}^{n,i}) \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+\ell,i+m}$;

(iii) For $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ of bidegrees (ℓ, m) and (ℓ_1, m_1) respectively, define the *Lie superalgebra bracket* $[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}]$ by putting $[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{g} - (-1)^{mm_1}\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{f}$.

A non-degenerate super-symmetric bilinear form $(,)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is induced from the standard one on $\mathbb{H}_n = H^*(X^{[n]})$ defined by $(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{X^{[n]}} \alpha\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X^{[n]})$. For $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ of bidegree (ℓ, m), we can define its *adjoint* $\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ by $(\mathfrak{f}(\alpha), \beta) = (-1)^{m\cdot|\alpha|} \cdot (\alpha, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger(\beta))$ where $|\alpha| = s$ if $\alpha \in H^s(X^{[n]})$. Note that the bidegree of $\mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ is $(-\ell, m - 4\ell)$. Also, for $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ of bidegree (ℓ_1, m_1), we have

$$(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{g})^\dagger = (-1)^{mm_1} \cdot \mathfrak{g}^\dagger\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}]^\dagger = -[\mathfrak{f}^\dagger, \mathfrak{g}^\dagger]. \tag{1}$$

We recall that the Heisenberg operators $\mathfrak{a}_n(\alpha) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$ were defined in [Na1, Gro, Na2]. These operators satisfy the property $\mathfrak{a}_n(\alpha) = (-1)^n \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-n}(\alpha)^\dagger$. In the next two sets of definitions, we collect various operators from [Leh, LQW1, LQW2]. We have adopted here the usual convention in the theory of vertex algebras on the signs of indices. For example, our indices for the Heisenberg and Virasoro generators coincide with those used in the paper [LS2], but differ exactly by a sign from the notations adopted in [Leh, LQW1].

Definition 2.2 (i) The *normally ordered product* $:\mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\mathfrak{a}_{m_2}:$ is defined by

$$:\mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\mathfrak{a}_{m_2}: \;=\; \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\mathfrak{a}_{m_2}, & m_1 \leq m_2 \\ \mathfrak{a}_{m_2}\mathfrak{a}_{m_1}, & m_1 \geq m_2. \end{cases}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathfrak{L}_n : H^*(X) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ by $\mathfrak{L}_n = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} :\mathfrak{a}_m\mathfrak{a}_{n-m}: \tau_{2*}$. Here for $k \geq 1$, $\tau_{k*} : H^*(X) \to H^*(X^k)$ is the map induced by the diagonal embedding $\tau_k : X \to X^k$, and $\mathfrak{a}_{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_k}(\tau_{k*}(\alpha)) = \sum_j \mathfrak{a}_{m_1}(\alpha_{j,1}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_k}(\alpha_{j,k})$ when $\tau_{k*}\alpha = \sum_j \alpha_{j,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_{j,k}$ via the Künneth decomposition of $H^*(X^k)$;

(ii) Define the linear operator $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ by $\mathfrak{d} = \oplus_n c_1(p_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})$, where p_1 is the projection of $X^{[n]} \times X$ to $X^{[n]}$, and the first Chern class $c_1(p_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n})$ of $p_{1*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}$ acts on $\mathbb{H}_n = H^*(X^{[n]})$ by the cup product.

(iii) For a linear operator $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$, define its *derivative* $\mathfrak{f}'$ by $\mathfrak{f}' = [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{f}]$. The higher derivative $\mathfrak{f}^{(k)}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$ is defined inductively by $\mathfrak{f}^{(k)} = [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{f}^{(k-1)}]$.

Definition 2.3 (i) Fix $i, n \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$. Let $G_i(\alpha, n)$ denote the $H^{|\alpha|+2i}(X^{[n]})$-component of $p_{1*}(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_n}) \cdot p_2^*\mathrm{td}(X) \cdot p_2^*\alpha) \in \mathbb{H}_n$, where p_1 and p_2 are the two projections of $X^{[n]} \times X$. Let $B_i(\alpha, n) = 0$ when $i \geq n$, and $B_i(\alpha, n) = 1/(n-i-1)! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)^{n-i-1}\mathfrak{a}_{-(i+1)}(\alpha)|0\rangle$ when $i < n$.

(ii) For $i \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$, the *Chern character operator* $\mathfrak{G}_i(\alpha) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ is defined to be the operator which acts on the component $\mathbb{H}_n$ by the cup product by $G_i(\alpha, n)$. The operator $\mathfrak{B}_i(\alpha) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ is defined to be the operator which acts on the component $\mathbb{H}_n$ by the cup product by $B_i(\alpha, n)$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let K_X be the canonical divisor of the smooth projective surface X. Let $k \geq 0, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$. Then,*

(i) $[\mathfrak{a}_n(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_m(\beta)] = -n \cdot \delta_{n+m} \cdot \int_X (\alpha\beta) \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ *where* $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{H}}$ *stands for the identity map of* $\mathbb{H}$*, and* δ_{n+m} *is* 1 *when* $n+m=0$ *and* 0 *when* $n+m \neq 0$*;*

(ii) $[\mathfrak{L}_n(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_m(\beta)] = -m \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{n+m}(\alpha\beta)$*;*

(iii) $\mathfrak{a}_n'(\alpha) = n \cdot \mathfrak{L}_n(\alpha) - n(|n|-1)/2 \cdot \mathfrak{a}_n(K_X\alpha)$*;*

(iv) $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\beta)] = 1/k! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{(k)}(\alpha\beta)$*;*

(v) $[\ldots[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\alpha_1)], \ldots], \mathfrak{a}_{n_{k+1}}(\alpha_{k+1})] = -\prod_{\ell=1}^{k+1} n_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+\ldots+n_{k+1}}(\alpha\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{k+1})$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1} n_\ell \neq 0$ and all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1} \in H^*(X)$.

Theorem 2.1 (i) was proved in [Na2]. The next two formulas in Theorem 2.1 were obtained in [Leh]. Theorem 2.1 (iv) and (v) were from [LQW1]. Also, as observed in [Na1, Gro], $\mathbb{H}$ is an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra generated by the $\mathfrak{a}_i(\alpha)$'s with the vacuum vector $|0\rangle \in H^0(X^{[0]})$ being the highest weight vector. Our next Theorem was proved in [LQW1, LQW2].

Theorem 2.2 *For $n \geq 1$, the cohomology ring $\mathbb{H}_n = H^*(X^{[n]})$ is generated by the cohomology classes $G_i(\alpha, n)$ (respectively, the cohomology classes $B_i(\alpha, n)$) where $0 \leq i < n$ and α runs over a fixed linear basis of $H^*(X)$.*

3 Pushforwards and multi-commutators

In this section, we establish several technical lemmas concerning the pushforward maps τ_{k*} and multiple commutators. These lemmas will be used throughout the paper. We shall also introduce the concept of *a universal linear combination*.

Our first lemma about the pushforward maps τ_{k*} is elementary but plays an essential role in the entire paper. We remark that in this lemma and hereafter, $\tau_{k*}(\alpha)$ is understood to be $\int_X \alpha$ when $k = 0$ and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $k, u \geq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$. Assume that $\tau_{k*}(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha_{i,1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i,k}$ under the Künneth decomposition of $H^*(X^k)$. Then for $0 \leq j \leq k$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{k*}(\alpha\beta) &= \sum_i (-1)^{|\beta| \cdot \sum_{\ell=j+1}^k |\alpha_{i,\ell}|} \cdot \left(\otimes_{s=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{i,s}\right) \otimes (\alpha_{i,j}\beta) \otimes \left(\otimes_{t=j+1}^{k} \alpha_{i,t}\right) \\
\tau_{(k-1)*}(\alpha\beta) &= \sum_i (-1)^{|\beta| \sum_{\ell=j+1}^k |\alpha_{i,\ell}|} \int_X \alpha_{i,j}\beta \cdot \otimes_{1 \leq s \leq k, s \neq j} \alpha_{i,s} \\
\tau_{(k+u-1)*}(\alpha) &= \sum_i \left(\otimes_{s=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{i,s}\right) \otimes (\tau_{u*}\alpha_{i,j}) \otimes \left(\otimes_{t=j+1}^{k} \alpha_{i,t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The basic idea is to use the projection formula. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_i (-1)^{|\beta| \cdot \sum_{\ell=j+1}^k |\alpha_{i,\ell}|} \cdot \left(\otimes_{s=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{i,s}\right) \otimes (\alpha_{i,j}\beta) \otimes \left(\otimes_{t=j+1}^{k} \alpha_{i,t}\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_i \alpha_{i,1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i,k}\right) \cdot p_j^*(\beta) = \tau_{k*}(\alpha) \cdot p_j^*(\beta) \\
= & \tau_{k*}\left(\alpha \cdot (p_j \circ \tau_k)^*(\beta)\right) = \tau_{k*}(\alpha\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

where p_j is the projection of X^k to the jth factor. This proves the first formula. The proofs of the second formula and the third formula are similar. □

Lemma 3.2 *Let $k, s \geq 1$, $n_1, \ldots, n_k, m_1, \ldots, m_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$. Then,*

(i) $[\mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_k}(\tau_{k*}\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_s}(\tau_{s*}\beta)]$ *is equal to*

$$-\sum_{t=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{s} n_t \delta_{n_t+m_j} \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \mathfrak{a}_{m_\ell} \prod_{1 \leq u \leq k, u \neq t} \mathfrak{a}_{n_u} \prod_{\ell=j+1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{m_\ell}\right)(\tau_{(k+s-2)*}(\alpha\beta));$$

(ii) *the derivative* $(\mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_k}(\tau_{k*}\alpha))'$ *is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n_j}{2} \cdot \sum_{m_1+m_2=n_j} \mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{j-1}} : \mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\mathfrak{a}_{m_2} : \mathfrak{a}_{n_{j+1}} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_k}(\tau_{(k+1)*}\alpha) \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n_j(|n_j|-1)}{2} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_k}(\tau_{k*}(K_X\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.1 (i) and (iii), and Lemma 3.1. □

Definition 3.1 Let X be a projective surface, $s \geq 1$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in H^*(X)$. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_s \geq 0$, and $n_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq k_i$. Then, a *universal linear combination* of $\mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,1}} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,k_i}}(\tau_{k_i *}(\alpha_i))$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ is a linear combination of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i(k_i, n_{i,1}, \ldots, n_{i,k_i}) \mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,1}} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,k_i}}(\tau_{k_i *}(\alpha_i))$ where the coefficients $f_i(k_i, n_{i,1}, \ldots, n_{i,k_i})$ are independent of $X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$. A *universal linear combination* of $\mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,1}} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{i,k_i}}(\tau_{k_i *}(\alpha_i))|0\rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ is defined in a similar way.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $k, s \geq 0$, $n, m_1, \ldots, m_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s \in H^*(X)$. Then,*

(i) *$\mathfrak{a}_n^{(k)}(\alpha)$ is a universal linear combination of $\mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{k-r+1}}(\tau_{(k-r+1)*}(K_X^r \alpha))$ where $0 \leq r \leq 2$ and $n_1 + \ldots + n_{k-r+1} = n$;*

(ii) *$[\cdots[\mathfrak{a}_n^{(k)}(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{m_1}(\beta_1)], \cdots], \mathfrak{a}_{m_s}(\beta_s)]$ is a universal linear combination of*

$$\mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_{k-s-r+1}}(\tau_{(k-s-r+1)*}(K_X^r \alpha \beta_1 \cdots \beta_s))$$

where $0 \leq r \leq 2$ and $n_1 + \ldots + n_{k-s-r+1} = n + m_1 + \ldots + m_s$.

Proof. Since $K_X^3 = 0$, (i) follows from repeatedly applying Lemma 3.2 (ii). Now (ii) follows from (i) and repeatedly applying Lemma 3.2 (i). □

Lemma 3.4 *Let e_X denote the Euler class of X. Fix $k \geq 2$, $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha \in H^*(X)$. Let j satisfy $1 \leq j < k$. Then, $\mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_k}(\tau_{k*}\alpha)$ is equal to*

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq s < j} \mathfrak{a}_{n_s} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{n_{j+1}} \mathfrak{a}_{n_j} \cdot \prod_{j+1 < s \leq k} \mathfrak{a}_{n_s} \right) (\tau_{k*}\alpha) - n_j \delta_{n_j + n_{j+1}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ s \neq j, j+1}} \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\tau_{(k-2)*}(e_X \alpha)).$$

Proof. Note that $\sum_t \beta_{t,1}\beta_{t,2} = e_X \beta$ if $\tau_{2*}(\beta) = \sum_t \beta_{t,1} \otimes \beta_{t,2}$. Now our result follows from Theorem 2.1 (i) and the second and third formulas in Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.5 *Let $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \in H^*(X)$, and $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ with $\mathfrak{f}' = 0$. Then,*

$$[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{a}_{-(n+1)}(\alpha)] = -\frac{1}{n} \cdot \{[[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)]', \mathfrak{a}_{-n}(\alpha)] + [\mathfrak{a}'_{-1}(1_X), [\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{a}_{-n}(\alpha)]]\}.$$

Proof. Appeared implicitly in [Leh], and follows from Theorem 2.1 (ii), (iii). □

4 Products of Chern characters

In this section, we prove that the products of Chern characters $G_k(\alpha, n)$ can be written as some universal finite linear combination of monomials of Heisenberg generators (see Theorem 4.1 below). As an application, we obtain the general structure of intersection numbers on the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$. We remark that Theorem 4.1 will also be used substantially in later sections.

The following lemma is a variation of the Lemma 5.26 in [LQW1].

Lemma 4.1 *Fix $k \geq 0$ and $b \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H})$ be of bidegree $(\tilde{s}, s)$ satisfying*

$$[[\cdots[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\alpha_1)], \cdots], \mathfrak{a}_{n_{k+1}}(\alpha_{k+1})] = 0 \tag{2}$$

for any $n_1, \ldots, n_{k+1} < 0$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1} \in H^*(X)$. Let $A = \mathfrak{a}_{m_1}(\beta_1)\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{m_b}(\beta_b)|0\rangle$ where $m_1, \ldots, m_b < 0$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_b \in H^*(X)$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{\sigma_i}(-1)^{\sum_{\ell\in\sigma_i^0}^{s}|\beta_\ell| + \sum_{j=1}^{i}\sum_{\ell\in\sigma_i^0, \ell>\sigma_i(j)}|\beta_{\sigma_i(j)}||\beta_\ell|} \cdot \prod_{\ell\in\sigma_i^0}\mathfrak{a}_{m_\ell}(\beta_\ell)[[\cdots[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{m_{\sigma_i(1)}}(\beta_{\sigma_i(1)})], \cdots], \mathfrak{a}_{m_{\sigma_i(i)}}(\beta_{\sigma_i(i)})]|0\rangle$$

where for each fixed i, σ_i runs over all the maps $\{1, \ldots, i\} \to \{1, \ldots, b\}$ satisfying $\sigma_i(1) < \cdots < \sigma_i(i)$, and $\sigma_i^0 = \{\ell \,|\, 1 \leq \ell \leq b, \ell \neq \sigma_i(1), \ldots, \sigma_i(i)\}$. □

Lemma 4.2 *Let $s \geq 1$, and $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(X)$. Then, $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\tau_{s*}\beta)]$ is a universal linear combination of expressions $\mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{m_{k+s-r}}(\tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r\alpha\beta))$ where $0 \leq r \leq 2$ and $m_1 + \ldots + m_{k+s-r} = n_1 + \ldots + n_s$.*

Proof. First of all, let $s = 1$. Note that $\mathfrak{a}_0(\beta) = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha)^\dagger = \mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)^\dagger = (-1)^{n_1}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}(\beta)$, we see from (1) that $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)]^\dagger = -[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha)^\dagger, \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)^\dagger]$ $= (-1)^{1+n_1}[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}(\beta)]$. Since $(\mathfrak{a}_{m_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{m_{k+s-r}}(\tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r\alpha\beta)))^\dagger$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{m_1+\ldots+m_{k+s-r}} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-m_{k+s-r}}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{-m_1}(\tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r\alpha\beta)),$$

we need only to prove the statement for $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)]$ with $n_1 \leq -1$. When $n_1 = -1$, $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)] = 1/k! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{(k)}(\alpha\beta)$. So the statement for $s = 1$ and $n_1 = -1$ follows from Lemma 3.3 (i). When $n_1 \leq -2$, we see from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta)] \\
= \; & \frac{1}{n_1+1} \cdot \{[[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)]', \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+1}(\beta)] + [\mathfrak{a}'_{-1}(1_X), [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+1}(\beta)]]\} \\
= \; & \frac{1}{n_1+1} \cdot \{\, [[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)]', \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+1}(\beta)] \\
& \quad + [\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X), [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+1}(\beta)]]' - [\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X), [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1+1}(\beta)]'] \,\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the statement for $s=1$ and $n_1 \le -2$ follows from induction and Lemma 3.2.

Next, let $s \ge 2$. Let $\tau_{s*}(\beta) = \sum_i \beta_{i,1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \beta_{i,s} \in H^*(X^s)$. Then, we have $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\tau_{s*}\beta)] = \sum_i [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\beta_{i,s})]$. By symmetry, it suffices to show that $\sum_i [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1})]\mathfrak{a}_{n_2}(\beta_{i,2}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\beta_{i,s})$ is a universal linear combination of the forms $\mathfrak{a}_{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_{k+s-r}}(\tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r \alpha\beta))$ where $0 \le r \le 2$ and $m_1 + \ldots + m_{k+s-r} = n_1 + \ldots + n_s$. To prove this, we apply what we have already proved in the preceding paragraph to $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1})]$. So $[\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1})]$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{0\le r\le 2 \\ m_1+\ldots+m_{k-r+1}=n_1}} f_r(k, n_1, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-r+1}) \mathfrak{a}_{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_{k-r+1}}(\tau_{(k-r+1)*}(K_X^r \alpha \beta_{i,1}))$$

where $f_r(k, n_1, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-r+1})$ stands for universal rational numbers independent of X, α, and $\beta_{i,1}$. In particular, these universal numbers are independent of i. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1})]\mathfrak{a}_{n_2}(\beta_{i,2}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\beta_{i,s}) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{0\le r\le 2 \\ m_1+\ldots+m_{k-r+1}=n_1}} f_r(k, n_1, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-r+1}) \cdot \\ & \cdot \sum_i \left(\mathfrak{a}_{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{m_{k-r+1}}(\tau_{(k-r+1)*}(K_X^r \alpha \beta_{i,1})) \right) \mathfrak{a}_{n_2}(\beta_{i,2}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\beta_{i,s}). \end{aligned}$$

By the first formula in Lemma 3.1, $\sum_i (K_X^r \alpha \beta_{i,1}) \otimes \beta_{i,2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{i,s} = \tau_{s*}(K_X^r \alpha\beta)$. So by the third formula in Lemma 3.1, $\sum_i \tau_{(k-r+1)*}(K_X^r \alpha \beta_{i,1}) \otimes \beta_{i,2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_{i,s} = \tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r \alpha\beta)$. It follows that $\sum_i [\mathfrak{G}_k(\alpha), \mathfrak{a}_{n_1}(\beta_{i,1})]\mathfrak{a}_{n_2}(\beta_{i,2}) \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{n_s}(\beta_{i,s})$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{0\le r\le 2 \\ m_1+\ldots+m_{k-r+1}=n_1}} f_r(k, n_1, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-r+1}) \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{k-r+1} \mathfrak{a}_{m_\ell} \prod_{t=2}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{n_t} \right) (\tau_{(k+s-r)*}(K_X^r \alpha\beta))$$

where $f_r(k, n_1, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-r+1})$ are independent of X, α, and β. □

Definition 4.1 (i) Let $s \ge 1$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in H^*(X)$ be homogeneous. For a partition $\pi = \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_j\}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, we fix the orders of the elements listed in each subset π_i $(1 \le i \le j)$ once and for all, and define $\ell(\pi) = j$, $\alpha_{\pi_i} = \prod_{m \in \pi_i} \alpha_m$, and $\mathrm{sign}(\alpha, \pi)$ by $\prod_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_{\pi_i} = \mathrm{sign}(\alpha, \pi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i$.

(ii) We denote $\mathbf{1}_{-n} = 1/n! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)^n$ when $n \ge 0$, and $\mathbf{1}_{-n} = 0$ when $n < 0$.

The geometric meaning of $\mathbf{1}_{-n}$ is that $\mathbf{1}_{-n}|0\rangle = 1_{X^{[n]}}$ (the fundamental class of $X^{[n]}$). Also, the choice of the orders for the elements listed in each π_i, $1 \le i \le \ell(\pi)$ will affect $\mathrm{sign}(\alpha, \pi)$, but will not affect the expression (3) in our next Theorem where an empty product $\prod_i G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ stands for $1_{X^{[n]}} = \mathbf{1}_{-n}|0\rangle$ by convention.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $n \geq 1, s \geq 0$, $k_1, \ldots, k_s \geq 0$, and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in H^*(X)$ be homogeneous. Then, $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is a finite linear combination of expressions:*

$$\text{(3)} \qquad \operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \pi) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{-\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)}\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j}\right)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) (\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i \alpha_{\pi_i})) \right) |0\rangle$$

whose coefficients are independent of X, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$, and the integer n. Here π runs over all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$, $r_i = |\epsilon_i|/2 \leq m_i \leq 2 + \sum_{j \in \pi_i} k_j$, $0 < n_{i,1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{i,m_i-r_i}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j} \leq \sum_{j \in \pi_i} (k_j + 1)$ for each i, and

$$\text{(4)} \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \left(m_i - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i.$$

Proof. Use induction on s. When $s = 0$, the statement is trivial by our convention. Next, let $s \geq 1$. By induction, $\prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is a linear combination of expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \sigma) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n})} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) (\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i \alpha_{\sigma_i})) \right) |0\rangle \\ = \; & \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \sigma)}{(n-\tilde{n})!} \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)^{n-\tilde{n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) (\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i \alpha_{\sigma_i})) \right) |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where σ runs over all partitions of $\{2, \ldots, s\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$, $r_i = |\epsilon_i|/2 \leq m_i \leq 2 + \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} k_j$, $0 < n_{i,1} \leq \ldots \leq n_{i,m_i-r_i}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j} \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} (k_j + 1)$, and $\tilde{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j}$. Moreover, the coefficients in the linear combination are independent of $X, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s$ and n. Now apply $\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1)$ to $\prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$, and move $\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1)$ to the right by using Lemma 4.1. Note that $|\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i \alpha_{\sigma_i})| \equiv |\alpha_{\sigma_i}| \pmod 2$. By Theorem 2.1 (v), $[\ldots[\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1), \mathfrak{a}_{\ell_1}(\beta_1)], \ldots], \mathfrak{a}_{\ell_{k_1+2}}(\beta_{k_1+2})] = 0$ when $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{k_1+2} < 0$. Since $\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1)|0\rangle = 0$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n) = \mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1) \left(\prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n) \right)$, we see from Lemma 4.1 that $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is a universal linear combination of expressions:

$$\text{(5)} \qquad \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \sigma)}{(n-\tilde{n})!} \binom{n-\tilde{n}}{t} \cdot (-1)^{|\alpha_1| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell(\sigma), i \notin U} |\alpha_{\sigma_i}| + \sum_{v=1}^{u} \sum_{w > i_v, w \notin U} |\alpha_{\sigma_{i_v}}||\alpha_{\sigma_w}|}.$$

$$\cdot\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)^{n-\tilde{n}-t}\left(\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le \ell(\sigma)\\ i\notin U}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\sigma_i}))\right)\cdot$$
$$\cdot\Bigg[\cdots[\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1),\underbrace{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)],\cdots],\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)}_{t\text{ times}}],\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i_1}-r_{i_1}}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i_1,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_{i_1}-r_{i_1})*}(\epsilon_{i_1}\alpha_{\sigma_{i_1}}))],$$
$$\cdots],\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i_u}-r_{i_u}}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i_u,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_{i_u}-r_{i_u})*}(\epsilon_{i_u}\alpha_{\sigma_{i_u}}))\Bigg]|0\rangle$$

where $0\le t\le(k_1+1), u\ge 0$, $(t+u)\ge 1$, $U=\{i_1,\dots,i_u\}\subset\{1,\dots,\ell(\sigma)\}$ with $i_1<\ldots<i_u$. Let π be the partition of $\{1,\dots,s\}$ consisting of all the σ_i with $1\le i\le\ell(\sigma)$ and $i\notin U$, and $\{1\}\coprod\left(\coprod_{i\in U}\sigma_i\right)$. Then, $\alpha_{\pi_{\ell(\pi)}}=\alpha_1\alpha_{\sigma_{i_1}}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma_{i_u}}$ and

$$\text{(6)}\qquad \operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)=\operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\sigma)\cdot(-1)^{|\alpha_1|\sum\limits_{1\le i\le\ell(\sigma),i\notin U}|\alpha_{\sigma_i}|+\sum\limits_{v=1}^{u}\sum\limits_{w>i_v,w\notin U}|\alpha_{\sigma_{i_v}}||\alpha_{\sigma_w}|}.$$

In view of (5) and (6), $\prod_{i=1}^{s}G_{k_i}(\alpha_i,n)$ is a linear combination of

$$\text{(7)}\qquad \operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)\cdot\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n}-t)}\left(\prod_{1\le i<\ell(\pi)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\pi_i}))\right)\cdot$$
$$\cdot\Bigg[\cdots[\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1),\underbrace{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)],\cdots],\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)}_{t\text{ times}}],\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i_1}-r_{i_1}}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i_1,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_{i_1}-r_{i_1})*}(\epsilon_{i_1}\alpha_{\sigma_{i_1}}))],$$
$$\cdots],\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i_u}-r_{i_u}}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i_u,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_{i_u}-r_{i_u})*}(\epsilon_{i_u}\alpha_{\sigma_{i_u}}))\Bigg]|0\rangle.$$

with all the coefficients being independent of $X,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s$ and n. Also notice that in the expression (7), the only factor depending on n is $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n}-t)}$.

Let $t=0$. Then, $u\ge 1$. By Lemma 4.2, Lemma 3.2 (i) and Lemma 3.4, each expression (7) is a universal linear combination of expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)\cdot\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n})}\left(\prod_{1\le i<\ell(\pi)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\pi_i}))\right)\cdot\\ &\quad\cdot\mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{m-r}}(\tau_{(m-r)*}(\epsilon\alpha_1\alpha_{\sigma_{i_1}}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma_{i_u}}))|0\rangle\\ =\;&\operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)\cdot\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n})}\left(\prod_{1\le i<\ell(\pi)}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}\right)(\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\pi_i}))\right)\cdot\\ &\quad\cdot\mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{m-r}}(\tau_{(m-r)*}(\epsilon\alpha_{\pi_{\ell(\pi)}}))|0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

which is of the form (3). Here $\epsilon = \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon_{i_1}\cdots\epsilon_{i_u}$ with $\tilde{\epsilon} \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$, $r = |\tilde{\epsilon}|/2 + \sum_{j=1}^{u} r_{i_j}$, $m = k_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{u} m_{i_j} - 2(u-1)$, $0 < n_1 \le \ldots \le n_{m-r}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 + \ldots + n_{m-r} &= \sum_{i\in U} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} \le \sum_{i\in U} \sum_{j\in\sigma_i} (k_j + 1) \\ < \quad (k_1+1) + \sum_{i\in U}\sum_{j\in\sigma_i}(k_j+1) &= \sum_{j\in\pi_{\ell(\pi)}} (k_j+1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that either $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$. When $\epsilon \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$, we have $r = |\epsilon|/2 \le m$. Since $m_{i_v} \le 2 + \sum_{j\in\sigma_{i_v}} k_j$ for $1 \le v \le u$, we obtain

$$m = k_1 + m_{i_1} + \ldots + m_{i_u} - 2(u-1) \le 2 + \sum_{j\in\pi_{\ell(\pi)}} k_j.$$

Next, assume that $t \ge 1$. Then by Theorem 2.1 (iv), we have

$$[\cdots[\mathfrak{G}_{k_1}(\alpha_1), \underbrace{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)], \cdots], \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)}_{t \text{ times}}] = \frac{1}{k_1!} \cdot [\cdots[\mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{(k_1)}(\alpha_1), \underbrace{\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)], \cdots], \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)}_{(t-1) \text{ times}}]$$

which by Lemma 3.3 (ii), is a universal linear combination of expressions of the form $\mathfrak{a}_{n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{n_{k_1-t+2-\tilde{r}}}(\tau_{(k_1-t+2-\tilde{r})*}(K_X^{\tilde{r}}\alpha_1))$ where $0 \le \tilde{r} \le 2$ and $n_1 + \ldots + n_{k_1-t+2-\tilde{r}} = -t$. So by Lemma 3.2 (i) and Lemma 3.4, (7) is a universal linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n}-t)} \left(\prod_{1\le i<\ell(\pi)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) (\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\pi_i})) \right) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{m-r}}(\tau_{(m-r)*}(\epsilon\alpha_1\alpha_{\sigma_{i_1}}\cdots\alpha_{\sigma_{i_u}}))|0\rangle \\ = \quad & \operatorname{sign}(\alpha,\pi)\cdot \mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n}-t)} \left(\prod_{1\le i<\ell(\pi)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}} \right) (\tau_{(m_i-r_i)*}(\epsilon_i\alpha_{\pi_i})) \right) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-n_1}\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{m-r}}(\tau_{(m-r)*}(\epsilon\alpha_{\pi_{\ell(\pi)}}))|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

which again is of the form (3). Here $m = (k_1 - t + 2) + m_{i_1} + \ldots + m_{i_u} - 2u < 2 + \sum_{j\in\pi_{\ell(\pi)}} k_j$, $\epsilon \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$, $r = |\epsilon|/2 \le m$, $0 < n_1 \le \ldots \le n_{m-r}$, and $n_1 + \ldots + n_{m-r} = t + \sum_{i\in U}\sum_{j=1}^{m_i-r_i} n_{i,j} \le \sum_{j\in\pi_{\ell(\pi)}}(k_j+1)$ since $t \le (k_1+1)$.

Finally, the cohomology degree of $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{s}(2k_i + |\alpha_i|)$. Comparing this with the cohomology degree of (3), we obtain (4). □

Corollary 4.1 *Let X and Y be two complex projective surfaces. Assume that there exists a ring isomorphism $\Phi : H^*(X) \to H^*(Y)$ with $\Phi(K_X) = K_Y$. Then for every $n \ge 1$, the two cohomology rings $H^*(X^{[n]})$ and $H^*(Y^{[n]})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Note that $\Phi(e_X) = e_Y$. Since the Chern characters $G_k(\alpha, n)$ generate the cohomology ring $H^*(X^{[n]})$, our result follows from Theorem 4.1. □

Next, we apply Theorem 4.1 to study intersection numbers in the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$. For this purpose, we establish the notation $\langle w \rangle = \int_Y w$ where $w \in H^*(Y)$ and Y stands for a smooth projective variety.

Corollary 4.2 *Let $n, s \geq 1$, $k_1, \ldots, k_s \geq 0$, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in H^*(X)$ be homogeneous cohomology classes. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{s}(2k_i + |\alpha_i|) = 4n$. Then, $\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n) \right\rangle$ is a finite linear combination of $\operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \pi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \langle \epsilon_i \alpha_{\pi_i} \rangle$ where π runs over all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, $\epsilon_i \in \{1_X, K_X, K_X^2, e_X\}$. Moreover, all the coefficients in this linear combination are independent of X, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ and n.*

Proof. Note that the positive generator of $H^{4n}(X^{[n]}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}([x])^n|0\rangle$ where $[x] \in H^4(X)$ stands for the cohomology class corresponding to a point $x \in X$. So by Theorem 4.1, an expression (3) nontrivially contributing to $\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n) \right\rangle$ must satisfy: i) $n_{i,j} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi)$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_i - r_i$; ii) $\epsilon_i \alpha_{\pi_i} = \langle \epsilon_i \alpha_{\pi_i} \rangle \cdot [x]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi)$; and iii) $n - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)}(m_i - r_i) = 0$. Since $\tau_{k*}([x]) = \underbrace{[x] \otimes \cdots \otimes [x]}_{k \text{ times}}$ for all $k \geq 0$, our conclusion follows immediately from (3). □

5 The stability

In this section, we establish a remarkable stability for the cohomology rings of the Hilbert schemes of n-points on projective surfaces as n varies.

We need two lemmas which sharpen the Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 3.5 in [LQW2]. In the first lemma, we determine the *leading* monomial of Heisenberg generators in $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$. In the second lemma, we express $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_i}(\alpha_i) \right) |0\rangle$ as a universal finite linear combination of cup products of the form $\prod_{j=1}^{t} G_{m_j}(\beta_j, n)$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let notations be the same as in Theorem 4.1.*

(i) *An expression of the form (3) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{s}(k_i + 1)$ is equal to $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-(k_i+1)}(\alpha_i) \cdot |0\rangle$, where $n_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{s}(k_i + 1)$.*

(ii) *The coefficient of* $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-(k_i+1)}(\alpha_i) \cdot |0\rangle$ *in* $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ *is* $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{k_i}}{(k_i+1)!}$.

Proof. (i) We may let $s \geq 1$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (k_i + 1)$, we see from Theorem 4.1 that $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} = \sum_{j \in \pi_i} (k_j + 1)$ for every i. By (4), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} (m_i - 2 + |\pi_i|) = 0 \tag{8}$$

where $|\pi_i|$ stands for the number of elements in the subset π_i. Note that for every i with $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi)$, we have $(m_i - r_i) \geq 1$ since $\sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} = \sum_{j \in \pi_i} (k_j + 1) \geq 1$. So for every i, $m_i \geq 1$, and $r_i = 0$ if $m_i = 1$. By (8), $m_i = |\pi_i| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi)$. Thus for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi)$, we have $r_i = 0$, $\epsilon_i = 1_X$, and $n_{i,1} = (k_j + 1)$ if $\pi_i = \{j\}$.

Now let $\pi_i = \{t_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\pi) = s$. Then the expression (3) is

$$\operatorname{sign}(\alpha, \pi) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-(k_{t_i}+1)}(\alpha_{t_i}) \right) |0\rangle = \mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-(k_i+1)}(\alpha_i) \right) |0\rangle.$$

(ii) The idea is to use induction on s and track the proof of Theorem 4.1 more carefully. When $s = 0$, the statement is trivial. Next, let $s \geq 1$ and $\tilde{n}_0 = \sum_{j=2}^{s} (k_j + 1)$. Assume that the coefficient of $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\tilde{n}_0)} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_i}(\alpha_i) \right) |0\rangle$ in the cup product $\prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is equal to $\prod_{i=2}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{k_i}}{(k_i+1)!}$. Tracking the proof of Theorem 4.1 and applying Theorem 2.1 (v), we conclude that the coefficient of $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-(k_i+1)}(\alpha_i) \right) |0\rangle$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{k_i}}{(k_i+1)!}$. □

Lemma 5.2 *Fix* $n, s \geq 1$, $n_1, \ldots, n_s \geq 1$, *and* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \in H^*(X)$. *Put* $n_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i$. *Then,* $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_i}(\alpha_i) \right) |0\rangle$ *is a finite linear combination of*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{t} G_{m_j}(\beta_j, n) \tag{9}$$

whose coefficients are independent of $X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ *and* n. *Here* $\sum_{j=1}^{t} (m_j + 1) \leq n_0$, *and* $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_t$ *depend only on* $e_X, K_X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ *and* τ_{i*} *with* $1 \leq i \leq n_0$.

Proof. We use induction on n_0. When $n_0 = 1$, $s = n_1 = 1$. By the Lemma 3.20 (i) in [LQW2], $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{a}_{-1}(\alpha_1)|0\rangle = G_0(\alpha_1, n)$. So the lemma holds for $n_0 = 1$.

Next, let $n_0 > 1$. Let $k_i = n_i - 1$. Then, $k_i \geq 0$ for every i. By Theorem 4.1, $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{k_i}(\alpha_i, n)$ is a finite linear combination of expressions of the form (3) such that the coefficients in this linear combination are independent of $X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ and n. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j \in \pi_i} (k_j + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{s}(k_i + 1) = n_0$. By induction, those expressions (3) with $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\pi)} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i - r_i} n_{i,j} < n_0$ are linear combinations of the form (9) where $\sum_{j=1}^{t}(m_j + 1) \leq (n_0 - 1)$, and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_t$ depend only on $e_X, K_X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s, \tau_{i*}$ with $1 \leq i \leq (n_0 - 1)$. Moreover, the coefficients in these linear combinations are independent of $X, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ and n. Now our lemma follows from Lemma 5.1. $\square$

Remark 5.1 By Lemma 5.2, an expression (9) in $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_0)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_i}(\alpha_i)\right)|0\rangle$ satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^{t}(m_j + 1) \leq n_0$. In fact, we see from the proof of Lemma 5.2 that an expression (9) satisfies the upper bound $\sum_{j=1}^{t}(m_j + 1) = n_0$ if and only if it is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{n_i - 1}(\alpha_i, n)$ whose coefficient is $\prod_{i=1}^{s}((-1)^{n_i - 1} n_i!)$ in view of Lemma 5.1 (ii).

Next, we prove a lemma which says that the Chern character $G_k(\alpha, n)$ can be expressed as a universal finite linear combination of cup products $\prod_{j=1}^{t} B_{m_j}(\beta_j, n)$ (see Definition 2.3 (i)). In other words, our next lemma essentially reverses the process in Lemma 5.2. This lemma will be used later in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

Lemma 5.3 *The Chern character $G_k(\alpha, n)$ is a finite linear combination of products $\prod_{j=1}^{t} B_{m_j}(\beta_j, n)$ whose coefficients are independent of X, α and n. Here $\sum_{j=1}^{t} m_j \leq k$, and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_t$ depend only on e_X, K_X and α. In addition, $\sum_{j=1}^{t} m_j = k$ if and only if the product $\prod_{j=1}^{t} B_{m_j}(\beta_j, n)$ equals $B_k(\alpha, n)$ whose coefficient is $(-1)^k/(k+1)!$.*

Proof. Use induction on k. When $k = 0$, we have $G_0(\alpha, n) = B_0(\alpha, n)$ by the Lemma 3.20 (i) in [LQW2]. Next, we assume that the lemma is true for $0, \ldots, k-1$ for some fixed $k \geq 1$. We shall prove that the lemma holds for k as well. We apply Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.1 to $B_k(\alpha, n) = \mathbf{1}_{-(n-k-1)}\mathfrak{a}_{-(k+1)}(\alpha)|0\rangle$. We see that

$G_k(\alpha,n)-\dfrac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!}\cdot B_k(\alpha,n)$ is a finite linear combination of $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{u} G_{n_j}(\gamma_j,n)$ where $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{u}(n_j+1)<(k+1)$, and $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_u$ depend only on e_X, K_X and α. Moreover, the coefficients in this linear combination are independent of X,α and n. Note that $n_j<k$ for all $1\le j\le u$. So by induction hypothesis, the lemma holds for k. □

Remark 5.2 Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 provide a new proof to Theorem 2.2 which was originally proved in [LQW1, LQW2].

Our stability result below indicates that the cup product on the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$ are independent of n in an appropriate sense. Furthermore, we find an explicit form of the leading term in the cup product. This result enables us to construct a ring and determine its structure in the next section.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $s\ge 1$ and $k_i\ge 1$ for $1\le i\le s$. Fix $n_{i,j}\ge 1$ and $\alpha_{i,j}\in H^*(X)$ for $1\le j\le k_i$, and fix n with $n\ge\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ for all $1\le i\le s$. Then the cup product*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}n_{i,j})}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j})\right)|0\rangle\right) \tag{10}$$

in $H^*(X^{[n]})$ is equal to a finite linear combination of monomials of the form

$$\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{p=1}^{N}m_p)}\left(\prod_{p=1}^{N}\mathfrak{a}_{-m_p}(\gamma_p)\right)|0\rangle \tag{11}$$

whose coefficients are independent of $X,\alpha_{i,j}$ and n. Here $\sum\limits_{p=1}^{N} m_p\le\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$, and $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_N$ depend only on $e_X, K_X, \alpha_{i,j}$, $1\le i\le s, 1\le j\le k_i$. In addition, the expression (11) satisfies the upper bound $\sum\limits_{p=1}^{N} m_p=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{s}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ if and only if it is equal to $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}n_{i,j})}\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{s}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{k_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j})\right)|0\rangle$ whose coefficient is 1.

Proof. Put $N_i=\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ for $1\le i\le s$. For each i, we see from Lemma 5.2 that $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{j=1}^{k_i}n_{i,j})}\left(\prod\limits_{j=1}^{k_i}\mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j})\right)|0\rangle$ is a finite linear combination of products $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{t_i} G_{m_{i,j}}(\beta_{i,j},n)$ where $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{t_i}(m_{i,j}+1)\le N_i$, and $\beta_{i,1},\ldots,\beta_{i,t_i}$ depend only on e_X, K_X, $\alpha_{i,1},\ldots,\alpha_{i,k_i}$ and τ_{j*} with $1\le j\le N_i$. Moreover, the coefficients in the linear combinations are independent of $X,\alpha_{i,1},\ldots,\alpha_{i,k_i}$ and n. By Remark 5.1,

the product satisfies the upper bound $\sum_{j=1}^{t_i}(m_{i,j}+1) = N_i$ if and only if it is equal to $\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{n_{i,j}-1}(\alpha_{i,j}, n)$. Furthermore, the coefficient of $\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{n_{i,j}-1}(\alpha_{i,j}, n)$ in $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j})}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \mathfrak{a}_{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j})\right)|0\rangle$ is equal to $\prod_{j=1}^{k_i}((-1)^{n_{i,j}-1}n_{i,j}!)$.

So (10) is a universal finite linear combination of products $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{t_i} G_{m_{i,j}}(\beta_{i,j}, n)$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{t_i}(m_{i,j}+1) \le \sum_{i=1}^{s} N_i = \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$. Also, $\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{t_i}(m_{i,j}+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ if and only if the product $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{t_i} G_{m_{i,j}}(\beta_{i,j}, n)$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{n_{i,j}-1}(\alpha_{i,j}, n)$. The coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{n_{i,j}-1}(\alpha_{i,j}, n)$ in (10) is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{k_i}((-1)^{n_{i,j}-1}n_{i,j}!)$.

It follows from Theorem 4.1 that (10) is a universal linear combination of expressions (11). The statement for the expression (11) reaching the upper bound $\sum_{p=1}^{N} m_p = \sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} n_{i,j}$ follows from Lemma 5.1. □

6 The Hilbert ring

Using the stability result proved in the previous section, we shall introduce and determine *the Hilbert ring* $\mathfrak{H}_X$ associated to a projective surface X.

Given a finite set S which is a disjoint union of subsets S_0 and S_1, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(S)$ the set of partition-valued functions $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c\in S}$ on S such that for every $c \in S_1$, the partition $\rho(c)$ is required to be *strict* in the sense that $\rho(c) = (1^{m_1(c)}2^{m_2(c)}\dots)$ with $m_r(c) = 0$ or 1 for all $r \ge 1$.

Now let us take a linear basis $S = S_0 \cup S_1$ of $H^*(X)$ such that $1_X \in S_0$, $S_0 \subset H^{\text{even}}(X)$ and $S_1 \subset H^{\text{odd}}(X)$. If we write $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c\in S}$ and $\rho(c) = (r^{m_r(c)})_{r\ge 1} = (1^{m_1(c)}2^{m_2(c)}\dots)$, then we put $\ell(\rho) = \sum_{c\in S}\ell(\rho(c)) = \sum_{c\in S, r\ge 1} m_r(c)$ and

$$\|\rho\| = \sum_{c\in S}|\rho(c)| = \sum_{c\in S, r\ge 1} r\cdot m_r(c), \quad \mathcal{P}_n(S) = \{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(S) \mid \ \|\rho\| = n\}.$$

Given $\rho = (\rho(c))_{c\in S} = (r^{m_r(c)})_{c\in S, r\ge 1} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $n \ge 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{-\rho(c)}(c) &= \prod_{r\ge 1}\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c)^{m_r(c)} = \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(c)^{m_1(c)}\mathfrak{a}_{-2}(c)^{m_2(c)}\cdots \\ \mathfrak{a}_\rho(n) &= \mathbf{1}_{-(n-\|\rho\|)}\prod_{c\in S}\mathfrak{a}_{-\rho(c)}(c)\cdot|0\rangle \in H^*(X^{[n]}) \end{aligned}$$

where we fix the order of the elements $c \in S_1$ appearing in $\prod_{c\in S}$ once and for all. Note from Definition 4.1 (ii) that $\mathfrak{a}_\rho(n) = 0$ for $0 \le n < \|\rho\|$.

As ρ runs over all partition-valued functions on S with $\|\rho\| \le n$, the corresponding $\mathfrak{a}_\rho(n)$ linearly span $H^*(X^{[n]})$ as a corollary to the theorem of Nakajima and Grojnowski [Na2]. By Theorem 5.1 (for $s = 2$), we have the cup product

$$\mathfrak{a}_\rho(n) \cdot \mathfrak{a}_\sigma(n) = \sum_\nu d^\nu_{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{a}_\nu(n) \tag{12}$$

in $H^*(X^{[n]})$, where $\|\nu\| \le \|\rho\| + \|\sigma\|$ and the structure coefficients $d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}$ are independent of n. Even though the cohomology classes $\mathfrak{a}_\nu(n)$ with $\|\nu\| \le n$ in $H^*(X^{[n]})$ are not linearly independent in general, we have the following.

Lemma 6.1 *The structure constants $d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}$ in the formula (12) are uniquely determined by the requirement that they are independent of n.*

Proof. Assume that there exist a finite subset $I \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ and some constants $c^\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$ independent of n such that for all $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\nu\in I} c^\nu \mathfrak{a}_\nu(n) = 0. \tag{13}$$

As an immediate consequence of the theorem of Nakajima and Grojnowski [Na2], the Heisenberg monomials $\prod_{c\in S} \mathfrak{a}_{-\rho(c)}(c) \cdot |0\rangle$, where $\rho = (\rho(c))_c \in \mathcal{P}_n(S)$, are linearly independent in the cohomology ring $H^*(X^{[n]})$. Therefore, by the definition of $\mathfrak{a}_\nu(n)$, we may assume in (13) that any two distinct ν and $\tilde\nu$ in I satisfy $\tilde\nu(c) = \nu(c)$ for $c \ne 1_X$, $\nu(1_X) = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots)$ and $\tilde\nu(1_X) = (1^{m_1+\|\tilde\nu\|-\|\nu\|}2^{m_2}\cdots)$ (here we assume for definiteness that $n \ge \|\tilde\nu\| > \|\nu\|$). In this case, we have $\mathfrak{a}_\nu(n) = (n - \|\tilde\nu\|)!/(n - \|\nu\|)! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{\tilde\nu}(n)$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we see from (13) that $c^{\tilde\nu} = 0$ for the $\tilde\nu \in I$ with the largest size $\|\tilde\nu\|$. So all the constants c^ν are zero. □

Now we are ready to introduce the Hilbert ring.

Definition 6.1 The *Hilbert ring* associated to a projective surface X, denoted by $\mathfrak{H}_X$, is defined to be the ring with a linear basis formed by the symbols $\mathfrak{a}_\rho$, $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and with the multiplication defined by $\mathfrak{a}_\rho \cdot \mathfrak{a}_\sigma = \sum_\nu d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}\mathfrak{a}_\nu$ where the structure constants $d^\nu_{\rho\sigma}$ are from the relations (12).

Note that the Hilbert ring does not depend on the choice of a linear basis S of $H^*(X)$ containing 1_X since the operator $\mathfrak{a}_n(\alpha)$ depends on the cohomology class $\alpha \in H^*(X)$ linearly. It follows from the super-commutativity and associativity of the cohomology ring $H^*(X^{[n]})$ that the Hilbert ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ itself is also super-commutative and associative. The ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ captures all the information of the cohomology rings of $X^{[n]}$ for all n, as we easily recover the relations (12) from the ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$. We summarize these observations into the following.

Theorem 6.1 (Stability) *For a given projective surface X, the cohomology rings $H^*(X^{[n]})$, $n \geq 1$ give rise to a Hilbert ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ which completely encodes the cohomology ring structure of $H^*(X^{[n]})$ for each n.*

We further have the following result on the structure of the Hilbert ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$. For convenience, in the case when $\ell(\rho) = 1$, that is, when the partition $\rho(c)$ is a one-part partition (r) for some element $c \in S$ and is empty for all the other elements in S, we will simply write $\mathfrak{a}_\rho = \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_\rho(n) = \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}(n)$.

Theorem 6.2 *The Hilbert ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $P \otimes E$, where P is the polynomial algebra generated by $\mathfrak{a}_{r,c}$, $c \in S_0, r \geq 1$ and E is the exterior algebra generated by $\mathfrak{a}_{r,c}$, $c \in S_1, r \geq 1$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{a}_{r,c}(n) = \mathbf{1}_{-(n-r)}\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c)|0\rangle = B_{r-1}(c,n)$. By Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, the ring $\mathfrak{H}_X$ is generated by the elements $\mathfrak{a}_{r,c}$, where $c \in S = S_0 \cup S_1$ and $r \geq 1$.

By the super-commutativity of $\mathfrak{H}_X$, we have $\mathfrak{a}_{r,c}^2 = 0$ for $c \in S_1$ and $r \geq 1$. It remains to show that as $\rho = (r^{m_r(c)})_{s\in S, r\geq 1}$ runs over $\mathcal{P}(S)$, the monomials $\prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}^{m_r(c)}$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{H}_X$. Assume $\sum_{i\in I} d_i \prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}^{m_r^i(c)} = 0$ where $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\rho_i = (r^{m_r^i(c)})_{c\in S, r\geq 1}$ runs over a finite set I of distinct elements in $\mathcal{P}(S)$. By the definition of the structure constants in $\mathfrak{H}_X$ and $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-r)}\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c)|0\rangle = \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}(n)$,

$$\sum_{i\in I} d_i \cdot \prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} \left(\mathbf{1}_{-(n-r)}\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c)|0\rangle\right)^{m_r^i(c)} = \sum_{i\in I} d_i \cdot \prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} \mathfrak{a}_{r,c}(n)^{m_r^i(c)} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Take an integer n large enough such that $n \geq n_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r,c} r m_r^i(c)$ for all $i \in I$. By Theorem 5.1, Eq. (14) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i\in I} d_i \left(\mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_i)} \prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} (\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c))^{m_r^i(c)} \cdot |0\rangle + w_i\right) = 0 \tag{15}$$

where each w_i is a finite linear combination of $\mathbf{1}_{-(n-\sum_{p=1}^N m_p)} \prod_{p=1}^N \mathfrak{a}_{-m_p}(\gamma_p)\cdot|0\rangle$ with $\sum_{p=1}^N m_p < n_i$ and $\gamma_p \in S$ for every p. Recall that $\mathbf{1}_{-k} = 1/k! \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{-1}(1_X)^k$, $k \geq 0$. If we multiply (15) by $n!$, and locate in the resulting summation those terms whose coefficients contain the largest power of n, then we see that

$$\sum_i d_i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{-(n-n_i)} \prod_{c\in S, r\geq 1} (\mathfrak{a}_{-r}(c))^{m_r^i(c)} \cdot |0\rangle = 0 \tag{16}$$

where i satisfies $n_i = \max\{n_j | j \in I\}$. Since all the integers n_i in (16) are equal, the Heisenberg monomials in (16) are linearly independent as a corollary to the theorem of Nakajima and Grojnowski [Na2]. Thus all the coefficients d_i in (16) are zero. By repeating the above argument, we obtain that $d_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$. □

References

[CR] W. Chen, Y. Ruan, *A new cohomology theory for orbifold*, Preprint.

[EGL] G. Ellingsrud, L. Göttsche, M. Lehn, *On the cobordism class of the Hilbert schemes of a surface*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001) 81-100.

[Got] L. Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990) 193–207.

[Gro] I. Grojnowski, *Instantons and affine algebras I: the Hilbert scheme and vertex operators*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996) 275–291.

[Leh] M. Lehn, *Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999) 157–207.

[LS1] M. Lehn, C. Sorger, *Symmetric groups and the cup product on the cohomology of Hilbert schemes*, Duke Math. J. **110** (2001) 345-357.

[LS2] M. Lehn, C. Sorger, *The cup product of the Hilbert scheme for $K3$ surfaces*, Preprint, math.AG/0012166.

[LQW1] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Vertex algebras and the cohomology ring structure of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Math. Ann. (to appear).

[LQW2] W.-P. Li, Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Generators for the cohomology ring of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **20** (2001) 1057-1074.

[Mar] E. Markman, *Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces*, Preprint, math.AG/0009109.

[Na1] H. Nakajima, *Heisenberg algebra and Hilbert schemes of points on projective surfaces*, Ann. Math. **145** (1997) 379–388.

[Na2] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Univ. Lect. Ser. **18**, Amer. Math. Soc. (1999).

[Wa] W. Wang, *Algebraic structures behind Hilbert schemes and wreath products*, Contemp. Math. (to appear), math.QA/0011103.

Department of Mathematics, HKUST, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, mawpli@uxmail.ust.hk;
Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA, zq@math.missouri.edu;
Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, ww9c@virginia.edu.